

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/936,806 | 09/17/2001 | Amir Loshakove | 088/02376 | 9502 |
| 44909 | 7590 07/12/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| WOLF, BLOCK, SCHORR & SOLIS-COHEN LLP 250 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10177 | | | O'CONNOR | C, CARY E |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ŕ | | | 3732 | |
| | | | | τ . |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 6 |
|---|--|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/936,806 | LOSHAKOVE ET AL |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Cary E. O'Connor | 3732 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | th the correspondence address – |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te. cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> and 22 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The solution of the supplication is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the supplication is in condition. | is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 14-19,21,22,76-94,96-104,106-111, 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdresses of the above claim(s) is/are withdresses of claim(s) 14-19,21,22,76-90,93-104,106-111, 6) Claim(s) 91 92 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examination of the corresponding of the correspon | awn from consideration. 113-127,129-161 and 163- for election requirement. her. ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya | 167 is/are allowed. by the Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the b | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in / iority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No received in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Interview | Summary (PTO-413) |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

09/936,806 Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 91 and 92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grudem et al (6,511,491) in view of Sauer et al (5,697,943). Grudem shows a kit for a bypass procedure comprising at least one graft 104 having anastomosis connectors 12, 10 embedded in the two ends of the graft, and at least one guide wire 160 attached to one end of the graft. Grudem does not show a sterility-maintaining packaging. Sauer shows a device for performing an anastomosis. In column 21, lines 37+, Sauer discloses that the various instruments and components may be provided in sterile form in some sort of package. This package inherently maintains the sterility of the components because Sauer discloses that the components are ready for use by the surgeon. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the graft, connectors and guidewire of Grudem in a sterility maintaining packaging, in view of Sauer, in order to provide a convenient and readily usable kit for the surgeon.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14-19, 21, 22, 76-90, 93-104, 106-111, 113-127, 129-161, 163-167 are allowed.

Claim Objections

Claims 97, 110, 121, 147, 152, 160, 161, 164-166 and 168 are objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "the spike elements" in each of the claims noted above lack prior antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 91 and 92 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number:

09/936,806 Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cary E. O'Connor whose telephone number is 571-272-4715. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cary E. O'Connor Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

ceo